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## Clustering in Constrained Optimization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x, y} y^{2}-12 x-7 y \\
& \text { s.t. } y+2 x^{4}-2=0, \\
& \quad x \in[0,2], y \in[0,3] .
\end{aligned}
$$



Floudas, C. et al., Springer, 1999.
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## Motivation

in Constrained Optimization

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{x, y} & -x-y \\
\text { s.t. } y & \leq 2+2 x^{4}-8 x^{3}+8 x^{2}, \\
y & \leq 4 x^{4}-32 x^{3}+88 x^{2}-96 x+36, \\
x & \in[0,3], y \in[0,4] .
\end{aligned}
$$



Floudas, C. et al., Springer, 1999.
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## Definitions

- Width of an interval

Let $Z=\left[z_{1}^{\mathrm{L}}, z_{1}^{\mathrm{U}}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[z_{n}^{\mathrm{L}}, z_{n}^{\mathrm{U}}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
The width of $Z$ is given by $w(Z)=\max _{i=1, \cdots, n}\left(z_{i}^{\mathrm{U}}-z_{i}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)$.
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- Schemes of relaxations


Nonempty, bounded set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, function $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
For each interval $Z \in \mathbb{I} X$, define convex relaxation $h_{Z}^{\text {cv }}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, concave relaxation $h_{Z}^{\text {cc }}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
$\left.\left(h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ defines a scheme of convex relaxations of $h$ in $X$. $\left.\left(h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ defines a scheme of concave relaxations of $h$ in $X$.
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- Hausdorff metric
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Image of $Z \subset X$ under $h: \bar{h}(Z):=\left[h^{\mathrm{L}}(Z), h^{\mathrm{U}}(Z)\right]$.
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\bar{h}(Z) \subset H(Z), \forall Z \in \mathcal{X} .
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## Hausdorff Convergence

- Hausdorff Convergence Order
$h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \supset X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, $H$ inclusion function of $h$ on $\mathbb{I} X$.
$H$ has Hausdorff convergence of order $\beta>0$ on $X$ if $\exists \tau>0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{I} X$,

$$
q(\bar{h}(Z), H(Z)) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta} .
$$



## Pointwise Convergence

- Pointwise Convergence Order
$h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \supset X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, $\left.\left(h_{z}^{\mathrm{cv}}, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ scheme of relaxations of $h$ in $X$.
$\left.\left(h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ has pointwise convergence of order $\gamma>0$ on $X$ if $\exists \tau>0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{I} X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{x \in Z}\left|h(x)-h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x)\right| \leq \tau w(Z)^{\gamma}, \\
& \sup _{x \in Z}\left|h(x)-h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}(x)\right| \leq \tau w(Z)^{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Bompadre, A. et al., J. Global Optim., 2012.

## Propagation of convergence orders

- $\gamma$-order pointwise convergence of a scheme of relaxations implies $(\gamma \leq) \beta$-order Hausdorff convergence of the scheme
- Envelopes and $\alpha B B$ relaxations have second-order pointwise convergence for $C^{2}$ functions
- Natural interval extensions have first-order pointwise convergence for Lipschitz continuous functions
- Centered forms have second-order Hausdorff convergence for $C^{1}$ functions


## Propagation of convergence orders

```
Convergence order of factors Convergence order of operation result
```

```
Sum: \(g(\mathbf{z})=g_{1}(\mathbf{z})+g_{2}(\mathbf{z})\)
```

Sum: $g(\mathbf{z})=g_{1}(\mathbf{z})+g_{2}(\mathbf{z})$
Schemes for $g_{i}$ have $\beta_{i} \quad \beta \geq 1$ (no order propagation)
Schemes for $g_{i}$ have $\beta_{i} \quad \beta \geq 1$ (no order propagation)
Schemes for $g_{i}$ have $\gamma_{i} \quad \gamma \geq \min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$
Schemes for $g_{i}$ have $\gamma_{i} \quad \gamma \geq \min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$
Product: $g(\mathbf{z})=g_{1}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot g_{2}(\mathbf{z})$
Product: $g(\mathbf{z})=g_{1}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot g_{2}(\mathbf{z})$
Schemes for $g_{i}$ have $\beta_{i} \quad \beta \geq 1$ (no order propagation)
Schemes for $g_{i}$ have $\beta_{i} \quad \beta \geq 1$ (no order propagation)
Schemes for $g_{i}$ have $\gamma_{i} \quad \gamma \geq \min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, 2\right\}$
Schemes for $g_{i}$ have $\gamma_{i} \quad \gamma \geq \min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, 2\right\}$
Composition: $g(\mathbf{z})=F \circ f(\mathbf{z})$
Composition: $g(\mathbf{z})=F \circ f(\mathbf{z})$
Scheme for $F$ has $\beta_{F} \quad \beta \geq \min \left\{\beta_{F}, \beta_{f, T}\right\}$
Scheme for $F$ has $\beta_{F} \quad \beta \geq \min \left\{\beta_{F}, \beta_{f, T}\right\}$
Inclusion for $f$ has $\beta_{f, T}$
Inclusion for $f$ has $\beta_{f, T}$
Scheme for $F$ has $\gamma_{F} \quad \gamma \geq \min \left\{\gamma_{F}, \gamma_{f}\right\}$
Scheme for $F$ has $\gamma_{F} \quad \gamma \geq \min \left\{\gamma_{F}, \gamma_{f}\right\}$
Scheme for $f$ has $\gamma_{f}$

```
    Scheme for \(f\) has \(\gamma_{f}\)
```

Bound on convergence order of McCormick estimators assuming Lipschitz continuity of the factors

## More Definitions

- Distance between sets

Let $Y, Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
The distance between $Y$ and $Z$ is defined as

$$
d(Y, Z):=\inf _{\substack{y \in Y \\ z \in \mathcal{Z}}}\|y-z\| .
$$
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- Convergence and Pointwise Convergence
$h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \supset X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, $\left.\left(h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ scheme of convex relaxations of $h$ on $X$.
$\left.\left(h_{Z}^{\text {cv }}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ has convergence of order $\beta>0$ on $X$ if $\exists \tau>0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{I} X$,
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\inf _{x \in Z} h(x)-\inf _{x \in Z} h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta}
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## Clustering in Unconstrained Global Optimization

Suppose

- $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an open, convex set
- $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $X$
- $x^{*}$ is the unique unconstrained global minimum of $f$ on $X$
- $\nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right)$ is positive definite
- The B\&B algorithm finds the upper bound $U B D=f\left(x^{*}\right)$ early on
- The termination tolerance $\varepsilon \ll 1$
- The $\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{~B}$ algorithm terminates when $U B D-L B D \leq \varepsilon$
- The scheme of convex relaxations $\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathrm{IX} X}$ has convergence of order $\beta>0$ on $X$
${ }^{\text {Illii }}$ Clustering in Unconstrained Global Optimization

Let $\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$.
Partition $X$ into regions $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon\right\}, \\
& B=\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\} .
\end{aligned}
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Partition $X$ into regions $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
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If $Z \in \mathbb{I} A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in Z} f(x)-\min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta} \\
\Rightarrow & \min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \geq \min _{x \in Z} f(x)-\tau w(Z)^{\beta}>f\left(x^{*}\right)+\varepsilon-\tau w(Z)^{\beta} \\
\therefore & \min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \geq f\left(x^{*}\right)-\varepsilon \text { when } \tau w(Z)^{\beta} \leq 2 \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow w(Z) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \delta
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon\right\}, \\
& B=\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $Z \in \mathbb{I} A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in Z} f(x)-\min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta} \\
\Rightarrow & \min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \geq \min _{x \in Z} f(x)-\tau w(Z)^{\beta}>f\left(x^{*}\right)+\varepsilon-\tau w(Z)^{\beta} \\
\therefore & \min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \geq f\left(x^{*}\right)-\varepsilon \text { when } \tau w(Z)^{\beta} \leq 2 \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow w(Z) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \delta
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$$

If $Z \in \mathbb{I} B$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in Z} f(x)-\min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta} \\
\Rightarrow & \min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \geq \min _{x \in Z} f(x)-\tau w(Z)^{\beta} \geq f\left(x^{*}\right)-\tau w(Z)^{\beta} \\
\therefore & \min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \geq f\left(x^{*}\right)-\varepsilon \text { when } \tau w(Z)^{\beta} \leq \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow w(Z) \leq \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition for fathoming
${ }^{\text {Illiī }}$ Clustering in Unconstrained Global Optimization

Let $\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$.
Partition $X$ into regions $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon\right\}, \\
& B=\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\} \\
& \approx\left\{x \in X: \frac{1}{2}\left(x-x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x-x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clustering in Unconstrained Global Optimization

Let $\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$.
Partition $X$ into regions $A$ and $B$ such that
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\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon\right\}, \\
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\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\left\{x \in X: f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\} \\
& \approx\left\{x \in X: \frac{1}{2}\left(x-x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x-x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Wechsung, A. et al., J. Global Optim., 2014.

## Clustering in Unconstrained Global Optimization

$\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} . B \approx\left\{x \in X: \frac{1}{2}\left(x-x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x-x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\} . \lambda_{1}=$ smallest eigenvalue of $\nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right)$.
Cover $B$ using boxes of width $\delta$ to estimate the extent of clustering.
$\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ has convergence of order $\beta>0$ on $X$, i.e., $\exists \tau>0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{I} X$,

$$
\min _{x \in Z} f(x)-\min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta}
$$

Clustering in Unconstrained Global Optimization

$$
\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} . B \approx\left\{x \in X: \frac{1}{2}\left(x-x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x-x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\} . \lambda_{1}=\text { smallest eigenvalue of } \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right) \text {. }
$$

Cover $B$ using boxes of width $\delta$ to estimate the extent of clustering.
$\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ has convergence of order $\beta>0$ on $X$, i.e., $\exists \tau>0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{I} X$,

$$
\min _{x \in Z} f(x)-\min _{x \in Z} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta}
$$

Number of boxes required to cover $B$ when $\beta=2$ (Wechsung et al., 2014)

| Case | Number of boxes |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\tau \leq \frac{\lambda_{1}}{8}$ | 1 |
| $\frac{\lambda_{1}}{8}<\tau \leq \frac{2 \lambda_{1}}{8}$ | $1+2 n$ |
| $\frac{2 \lambda_{1}}{8}<\tau \leq \frac{3 \lambda_{1}}{8}$ | $1+2 n^{2}$ |
| $\frac{3 \lambda_{1}}{8}<\tau \leq \frac{4 \lambda_{1}}{8}$ | $1+\frac{8}{3} n-2 n^{2}+\frac{4}{3} n^{3}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{18 \lambda_{1}}{8}<\tau$ | $\left\lceil 2 \sqrt{\tau \lambda_{1}^{-1}}\right\rceil^{n-1}\left(\left\lceil 2 \sqrt{\tau \lambda_{1}^{-1}}\right\rceil+2 n\left\lceil(\sqrt{2}-1) \sqrt{\tau \lambda_{1}^{-1}}\right\rceil\right)$ |

## Formulation

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in X} f(x) \\
& \text { s.t. } g(x) \leq 0, \\
& \quad h(x)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a nonempty open bounded convex set, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{I}}, h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{E}}$.
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2. The constraints define a compact set inside $X$

## Formulation

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\min _{x \in X} & f(x) \\
\text { s.t. } g(x) \leq 0, \\
& h(x)=0,
\end{array}
$$

where $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a nonempty open bounded convex set, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{I}}, h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{E}}$.

Assume

1. $f, g$, and $h$ are $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $X$
2. The constraints define a compact set inside $X$
3. $x^{*} \in X$ is a global minimum of the above problem, and the $\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{~B}$ algorithm has found the upper bound $U B D=f\left(x^{*}\right)$ early on
4. The termination tolerance $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and the algorithm fathoms node $k$ when $U B D-L B D_{k} \leq \varepsilon$

## Convergence Order Convex relaxation-based scheme

Let $\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ and $\left.\left(g_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ denote continuous schemes of convex relaxations of $f$ and $g$ in $X$, and let $\left.\left(h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ denote a continuous scheme of relaxations of $h$ in $X$.

## Convergence Order

 Convex relaxation-based schemeLet $\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ and $\left.\left(g_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ denote continuous schemes of convex relaxations of $f$ and $g$ in $X$, and let $\left.\left(h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ denote a continuous scheme of relaxations of $h$ in $X$.

The convex relaxation-based lower bounding scheme is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}(Z):= \min _{x \in Z} \\
& \text { s.t. } g_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \\
& h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq 0, \\
& h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}(x) \geq 0, \\
& \mathcal{I}_{I}(Z):= \bar{g}_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(Z), \\
& \mathcal{I}_{E}(Z):=\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{E}}: h_{Z}^{c v}(z) \leq w \leq h_{Z}^{c c}(z) \text { for some } z \in Z\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.(\mathcal{O}(Z))\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ : scheme of lower bounds.
$\left.\left(\mathcal{I}_{I}(Z)\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ : scheme estimating feasibility of inequality constraints.
$\left.\left(\mathcal{I}_{E}(Z)\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ : scheme estimating feasibility of equality constraints.

## Convergence Order Convex relaxation-based scheme

Let $\mathcal{F}(Z):=\{x \in Z: g(x) \leq 0, h(x)=0\}$,

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{cv}}(Z):=\left\{x \in Z: g_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq 0, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq 0, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}(x) \geq 0\right\} .
$$

The convex relaxation-based lower bounding scheme is said to have convergence of order $\beta>0$ at

1. a feasible point $x \in X$ if $\exists \tau \geq 0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{L} X$ with $x \in Z$,

$$
\min _{z \in \mathcal{F}(Z)} f(z)-\min _{z \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{c}}(Z)} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(z) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta}
$$

2. an infeasible point $x \in X$ if $\exists \bar{\tau} \geq 0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{I} X$ with $x \in Z$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(\bar{g}(Z), \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right)-d\left(\bar{g}_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(Z), \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right) \leq \bar{\tau} w(Z)^{\beta}, \text { and } \\
& d(\bar{h}(Z),\{0\})-d\left(I_{E}(Z),\{0\}\right) \leq \bar{\tau} w(Z)^{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left.\left(I_{E}(Z)\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I X}}$ is defined as

$$
\left.\left(I_{E}(Z)\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}:=\left(\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{E}}: h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq w \leq h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}(x) \text { for some } x \in Z\right\}\right)_{Z \in \mathbb{X}}
$$

## Convergence Order Convex relaxation-based scheme

Let $\mathcal{F}(Z):=\{x \in Z: g(x) \leq 0, h(x)=0\}$,

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{cv}}(Z):=\left\{x \in Z: g_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq 0, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq 0, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}(x) \geq 0\right\} .
$$

The convex relaxation-based lower bounding scheme is said to have convergence of order $\beta>0$ at

1. a feasible point $x \in X$ if $\exists \tau \geq 0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{L} X$ with $x \in Z$,

$$
\min _{z \in \mathcal{F}(Z)} f(z)-\min _{z \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{c}}(Z)} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(z) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta}
$$

"The lower bound has to converge to the minimum objective value with order at least $\beta^{\prime \prime}$
2. an infeasible point $x \in X$ if $\exists \bar{\tau} \geq 0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{I} X$ with $x \in Z$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(\bar{g}(Z), \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right)-d\left(\bar{g}_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(Z), \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right) \leq \bar{\tau} w(Z)^{\beta}, \text { and } \\
& d(\bar{h}(Z),\{0\})-d\left(I_{E}(Z),\{0\}\right) \leq \bar{\tau} w(Z)^{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left.\left(I_{E}(Z)\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ is defined as

$$
\left.\left(I_{E}(Z)\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{X} X}:=\left(\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{E}}: h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq w \leq h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}(x) \text { for some } x \in Z\right\}\right)_{Z \in \mathbb{X}}
$$

## Convergence Order Convex relaxation-based scheme

Let $\mathcal{F}(Z):=\{x \in Z: g(x) \leq 0, h(x)=0\}$,

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{cv}}(Z):=\left\{x \in Z: g_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq 0, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq 0, h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}(x) \geq 0\right\} .
$$

The convex relaxation-based lower bounding scheme is said to have convergence of order $\beta>0$ at

1. a feasible point $x \in X$ if $\exists \tau \geq 0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{L} X$ with $x \in Z$,

$$
\min _{z \in \mathcal{F}(Z)} f(z)-\min _{z \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{F}}(Z)} f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(z) \leq \tau w(Z)^{\beta}
$$

2. an infeasible point $x \in X$ if $\exists \bar{\tau} \geq 0$ s.t. $\forall Z \in \mathbb{I} X$ with $x \in Z$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(\bar{g}(Z), \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right)-d\left(\bar{g}_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(Z), \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right) \leq \bar{\tau} w(Z)^{\beta}, \text { and } \\
& d(\bar{h}(Z),\{0\})-d\left(I_{E}(Z),\{0\}\right) \leq \bar{\tau} w(Z)^{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left.\left(I_{E}(Z)\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ is defined as
"The lower bound has to converge to the minimum objective value with order at least $\beta^{\prime \prime}$
"The image of constraint relaxations has to converge (in distance) to the image of the true constraints with order at least $\beta^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\left.\left(I_{E}(Z)\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}:=\left(\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{E}}: h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}(x) \leq w \leq h_{Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}(x) \text { for some } x \in Z\right\}\right)_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}
$$

## Conditions for first-order convergence

- Sufficient conditions for first-order convergence

Theorem: Suppose

1. $f, g_{j}, j=1, \cdots, m_{I}$, and $h_{k}, k=1, \cdots, m_{E}$, are Lipschitz continuous on $X$.
2. The schemes $\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X},\left.\left(g_{j, Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}, j=1, \cdots, m_{I}$, and $\left.\left(h_{k, Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}, h_{k, Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}, k=1, \cdots, m_{E}$, are at least first-order pointwise convergent on $X$.
Then, the convex relaxation-based lower bounding scheme is at least first-order convergent on $X$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{x} & -x \\
\text { s.t. } & x^{3} \leq 0 \\
& x \in[-1,1]
\end{aligned}
$$



## Conditions for second-order convergence

- Sufficient conditions for second-order convergence

Theorem: Suppose

1. $f, g_{j}, j=1, \cdots, m_{I}$, and $h_{k}, k=1, \cdots, m_{E}$, are $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $X$.
2. The schemes $\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X},\left.\left(g_{j, Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{X}}, j=1, \cdots, m_{I}$, and $\left.\left(h_{k, Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}, h_{k, Z}^{\mathrm{cc}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}, k=1, \cdots, m_{E}$, are at least second-order pointwise convergent on $X$.
Then, the convex relaxation-based lower bounding scheme is at least second-order convergent at 1. $x \in X$ for which $\exists(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m_{I}} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_{E}}$ such that $(x, \mu, \lambda)$ is a KKT point 2. $x \in X$ with $g(x)<0$ (when $m_{E}=0$ )
3. infeasible $x \in X$
$\min _{x} x$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { s.t. } & -x^{2}+x+2 \leq 0, \\
& x \in[1,3] .
\end{array}
$$



## Clustering in Constrained Global Optimization

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in X} f(x) \\
& \text { s.t. } g(x) \leq 0, \\
& \quad h(x)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a nonempty open bounded convex set, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{I}}, h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{E}}$.

Assume

1. $f, g$, and $h$ are $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $X$
2. The constraints define a compact set inside $X$
3. $x^{*} \in X$ is a global minimum of the above problem, and the B\&B algorithm has found the upper bound $U B D=f\left(x^{*}\right)$ early on
4. The termination tolerance $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and the algorithm fathoms node $k$ when $U B D-L B D_{k} \leq \varepsilon$

## Clustering in Constrained Global Optimization

Suppose the lower bounding scheme

1. has convergence of order $\beta^{*}>0$ at feasible points with a prefactor $\tau^{*}>0$
2. has convergence of order $\beta^{\mathrm{I}}>0$ at infeasible points with a prefactor $\tau^{\mathrm{I}}>0$

## Clustering in Constrained Global Optimization

Suppose the lower bounding scheme

1. has convergence of order $\beta^{*}>0$ at feasible points with a prefactor $\tau^{*}>0$
2. has convergence of order $\beta^{\mathrm{I}}>0$ at infeasible points with a prefactor $\tau^{\mathrm{I}}>0$

Suppose $\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ has convergence of order $\beta^{\mathrm{f}}>0$ on $X$ with a prefactor $\tau^{\mathrm{f}}>0$
Let $\varepsilon^{f}, \varepsilon^{o}$ be such that $\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}}{\tau^{\mathrm{I}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\mathrm{I}}}}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}}{\tau^{\mathrm{f}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\mathrm{f}}}}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}}$.

## Clustering in Constrained Global Optimization

Suppose the lower bounding scheme

1. has convergence of order $\beta^{*}>0$ at feasible points with a prefactor $\tau^{*}>0$
2. has convergence of order $\beta^{\mathrm{I}}>0$ at infeasible points with a prefactor $\tau^{\mathrm{I}}>0$

Suppose $\left.\left(f_{Z}^{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right|_{Z \in \mathbb{I} X}$ has convergence of order $\beta^{\mathrm{f}}>0$ on $X$ with a prefactor $\tau^{\mathrm{f}}>0$
Let $\varepsilon^{f}, \varepsilon^{o}$ be such that $\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{f}}{\tau^{\mathrm{f}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\mathrm{I}}}}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{0}}{\tau^{\mathrm{f}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\mathrm{f}}}}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}}$.
Partition $X$ into regions $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{5}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}>\varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right\}, \\
& X_{2}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left(0, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right] \text { and } f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon^{\circ}\right\}, \\
& X_{3}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left(0, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right] \text { and } f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon^{\circ}\right\}, \\
& X_{4}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}=0 \text { and } f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon\right\}, \\
& X_{5}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}=0 \text { and } f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Clustering in Constrained Global Optimization

Partition $X$ into regions $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{5}$ such that
$X_{1}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}>\varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right\}$,
$X_{2}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left(0, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right]\right.$ and $\left.f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}\right\}$, $X_{3}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left(0, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right]\right.$ and $\left.f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}\right\}$, $X_{4}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}=0\right.$ and $\left.f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon\right\}$, $X_{5}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}=0\right.$ and $\left.f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\}$.
"quite infeasible"
"nearly feasible" but have "poor objective value"
"nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" feasible but "quite suboptimal"
feasible and "nearly optimal"

## Clustering in Constrained Global Optimization

Partition $X$ into regions $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{5}$ such that
$X_{1}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}>\varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right\}$,
$X_{2}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left(0, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right]\right.$ and $\left.f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon^{0}\right\}$, $X_{3}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left(0, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right]\right.$ and $\left.f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon^{0}\right\}$, $X_{4}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}=0\right.$ and $\left.f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right)>\varepsilon\right\}$, $X_{5}=\left\{x \in X: \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\}=0\right.$ and $\left.f(x)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\}$.
"quite infeasible"
"nearly feasible" but have "poor objective value"
"nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" feasible but "quite suboptimal"
feasible and "nearly optimal"


Unconstrained


Equality constrained


Inequality constrained

## More Definitions

- Neighborhood of a point

Let $x \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For any $\alpha>0, p \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{p}(x)=\left\{z \in X:\|z-x\|_{p}<\alpha\right\}$
is called the $\alpha$-neighborhood of $x$ in $X$ with respect to the $p$-norm.

- Strict local minimum

A point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ is called a strict local minimum if $\bar{x}$ is a local minimum and $\exists \alpha>0$ such that $f(x)>f(\bar{x}), \forall x \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{2}(\bar{x}) \cap \mathcal{F}(X)$ s.t. $x \neq \bar{x}$.

- Nonisolated feasible point

A feasible point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ is said to be nonisolated if $\forall \alpha>0, \exists z \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{2}(\bar{x}) \cap \mathcal{F}(X)$ s.t. $z \neq \bar{x}$.

- Set of active inequality constraints

Let $x \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ be a feasible point. The set of active inequality constraints at $x$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{A}(x)=\left\{j \in\left\{1, \cdots, m_{I}\right\}: g_{j}(x)=0\right\} .
$$

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

$X_{3}=\{x \in X$ which are "nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" $\}$,
$X_{5}=\{x \in X$ which are feasible and "nearly optimal" $\}$.

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

$X_{3}=\{x \in X$ which are "nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" $\}$,
$X_{5}=\{x \in X$ which are feasible and "nearly optimal" $\}$.
Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0$ s.t.

$$
\left.L=\inf _{\{d:\|d\|=1, \exists \gg 0} \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+d d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(x)\right\} \in\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0 .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

$X_{3}=\{x \in X$ which are "nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" $\}$, $X_{5}=\{x \in X$ which are feasible and "nearly optimal" $\}$.

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0$ s.t.

$$
\left.L=\inf _{\{d:\|d\|=1, \exists t>0} \operatorname{s.t}\left(x^{*}+d d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(X)\right\} \in\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0 .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

$\min _{x}-x$
s.t. $x^{3} \leq 0$, $x \in[-1,1]$.


## First-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

$X_{3}=\{x \in X$ which are "nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" $\}$, $X_{5}=\{x \in X$ which are feasible and "nearly optimal" $\}$.

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0$ s.t.

$$
\left.L=\inf _{\{d:\|d\|=1, \exists t>0} \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+d d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(x)\right\} \in\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

$\min _{x, y} y$
s.t. $x^{2}-y \leq 0$, $x \in[-1,1], y \in[-1,1]$.


## First-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0$ s.t.

$$
L=\inf _{\left\{d:|d|=1, \overrightarrow{\exists t>0} \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(x)\right\}} \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0 .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Theorem: Let $\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}}, r=\frac{2 \varepsilon}{L}$.

1. If $\delta \geq 2 r$, then let $N=1$.
2. If $\frac{2 r}{m-1}>\delta \geq \frac{2 r}{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leq n, 2 \leq m \leq 6$, then let

$$
N=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} 2^{i}\binom{n}{i}+2 n\left\lceil\frac{m-3}{3}\right\rceil .
$$

3. Otherwise, let

$$
N=\left\lceil 2 \tau^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}} L^{-1}\right\rceil^{n-1}\left(\left[2 \tau^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}} L^{-1}\right]+2 n\left[\tau^{* \frac{1}{\beta^{*}}} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}} L^{-1}\right]\right)
$$

$N$ is an upper bound on the number of boxes of width $\delta$ required to cover $\hat{X}_{5}$.

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0$ s.t.

$$
L=\inf _{\left\{d:|d|=1, \overrightarrow{\exists t>0} \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(x)\right\}} \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0 .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Number of boxes required to cover $\hat{X}_{5}$ when $\beta^{*}=1$

| Case | Number of boxes |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\tau^{*} \leq \frac{L}{4}$ | 1 |
| $\frac{L}{4}<\tau^{*} \leq \frac{2 L}{4}$ | $1+2 n$ |
| $\frac{2 L}{4}<\tau^{*} \leq \frac{3 L}{4}$ | $1+2 n^{2}$ |
| $\frac{3 L}{4}<\tau^{*} \leq \frac{4 L}{4}$ | $1+\frac{14}{3} n-2 n^{2}+\frac{4}{3} n^{3}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{6 L}{4}<\tau^{*}$ | $\left\lceil 2 \tau^{*} L^{-1}\right\rceil^{n-1}\left(\left\lceil 2 \tau^{*} L^{-1}\right\rceil+2 n\left\lceil\tau^{*} L^{-1}\right\rceil\right)$ |

## Second-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0, \gamma>0$ s.t.

$$
\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d+\frac{1}{2} d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right) d \geq \gamma d^{\mathrm{T}} d, \quad \forall d \in\left\{d:\left(x^{*}+d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(X)\right\}
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right): \gamma\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

|『F|

## Second-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0, \gamma>0$ s.t.

$$
\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d+\frac{1}{2} d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right) d \geq \gamma d^{\mathrm{T}} d, \quad \forall d \in\left\{d:\left(x^{*}+d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(X)\right\}
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right): \gamma\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} . \quad \min _{x, y} y
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s.t. } x^{2}-y \leq 0, \\
& \\
& \quad x \in[-1,1], y \in[-1,1] .
\end{aligned}
$$



## Second-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0, \gamma>0$ s.t.

$$
\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d+\frac{1}{2} d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right) d \geq \gamma d^{\mathrm{T}} d, \quad \forall d \in\left\{d:\left(x^{*}+d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(X)\right\}
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right): \gamma\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

$\min _{x, y} y$
s.t. $x^{4}-y \leq 0$,
$x \in[-1,1], y \in[-1,1]$.


## Second-Order Clustering in $X_{5}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a nonisolated feasible point and $\exists \alpha>0, \gamma>0$ s.t.

$$
\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d+\frac{1}{2} d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right) d \geq \gamma d^{\mathrm{T}} d, \quad \forall d \in\left\{d:\left(x^{*}+d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}(X)\right\}
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region $\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{5}$ is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right): \gamma\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Number of boxes required to cover $\hat{X}_{5}$ when $\beta^{*}=2$

| Case | Number of boxes |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\tau^{*} \leq \frac{\gamma}{8}$ | 1 |
| $\frac{\gamma}{8}<\tau^{*} \leq \frac{2 \gamma}{8}$ | $1+2 n$ |
| $\frac{2 \gamma}{8}<\tau^{*} \leq \frac{3 \gamma}{8}$ | $1+2 n^{2}$ |
| $\frac{3 \gamma}{8}<\tau^{*} \leq \frac{4 \gamma}{8}$ | $1+\frac{8}{3} n-2 n^{2}+\frac{4}{3} n^{3}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{18 \gamma}{8}<\tau^{*}$ | $\left\lceil 2 \sqrt{\tau^{*} \gamma^{-1}}\right\rceil^{n-1}\left(\left\lceil 2 \sqrt{\tau^{*} \gamma^{-1}}\right\rceil+2 n\left\lceil(\sqrt{2}-1) \sqrt{\tau^{*} \gamma^{-1}}\right\rceil\right)$ |

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{3}$

$X_{3}=\{x \in X$ which are "nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" $\}$, $X_{5}=\{x \in X$ which are feasible and "nearly optimal" $\}$.

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a constrained minimizer and $\exists \alpha>0$ and a set $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{f}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} D_{I}} \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0, \\
& L_{I}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} D_{1}} \max \left\{\max _{j \in \mathcal{A}\left(x^{*}\right)}\left\{\nabla g_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right\}, \max _{k \in\left\{1_{1}, \cdots, m_{E}\right\}}\left\{\left|\nabla h_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right|\right\}\right\}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{I}=\left\{d:\|d\|_{1}=1, \exists t>0 \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X)\right\} .
$$

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{3}$

$X_{3}=\{x \in X$ which are "nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" $\}$, $X_{5}=\{x \in X$ which are feasible and "nearly optimal" $\}$.

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a constrained minimizer and $\exists \alpha>0$ and a set $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{f}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{1}, D_{1}} \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0, \\
& L_{I}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \mathcal{D}_{1}} \max \left\{\max _{j \in \mathcal{A}\left(x^{*}\right)}\left\{\nabla g_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right\}, \max _{k \in\left\{\left\{1, \cdots, \bar{D}_{E}\right\}\right.}\left\{\left|\nabla h_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right|\right\}\right\}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{I}=\left\{d:\|d\|_{1}=1, \exists t>0 \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X)\right\} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{x, y} & -x-y \\
\text { s.t. } y & \leq 2+2 x^{4}-8 x^{3}+8 x^{2}, \\
y & \leq 4 x^{4}-32 x^{3}+88 x^{2}-96 x+36, \\
x & \in[0,3], y \in[0,4] .
\end{aligned}
$$



## First-Order Clustering in $X_{3}$

$X_{3}=\{x \in X$ which are "nearly feasible" and have "good objective value" $\}$, $X_{5}=\{x \in X$ which are feasible and "nearly optimal" $\}$.

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a constrained minimizer and $\exists \alpha>0$ and a set $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{f}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \mathcal{D}_{l}} \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0, \\
& L_{I}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \mathcal{D}_{1}} \max \left\{\max _{j \in \mathcal{A}\left(x^{*}\right)}\left\{\nabla g_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right\}, \max _{k \in\left\{1, \cdots, m_{E}\right\}}\left\{\left|\nabla h_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right|\right\}\right\}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{I}=\left\{d:\|d\|_{1}=1, \exists t>0 \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X)\right\} .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cap \mathcal{D}_{I}, t>0\right\}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{1}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L_{f}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\circ}\right\}
$$

and the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1}, t>0\right\}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{2}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L_{I}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right\} .
$$



## First-Order Clustering in $X_{3}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a constrained minimizer and $\exists \alpha>0$ and a set $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{f}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \mathcal{D}_{I}} \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0, \\
& L_{I}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \mathcal{D}_{1}} \max \left\{\max _{j \in \mathcal{A}\left(x^{*}\right)}\left\{\nabla g_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right\}, \max _{k \in\left\{1, \cdots, m_{\mathcal{E}}\right\}}\left\{\left|\nabla h_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right|\right\}\right\}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{I}=\left\{d:\|d\|_{1}=1, \exists t>0 \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X)\right\} .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cap \mathcal{D}_{I}, t>0\right\}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{1}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L_{f}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\circ}\right\}
$$

and the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1}, t>0\right\}
$$

is overestimated by


$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{2}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L_{I}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right\} .
$$

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{3}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a constrained minimizer and $\exists \alpha>0$ and a set $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{f}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cap \mathcal{D}_{I}} \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0 \\
& L_{I}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \mathcal{D}_{1}} \max \left\{\max _{j \in \mathcal{A}\left(x^{*}\right)}\left\{\nabla g_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right\}, \max _{k \in\left\{1, \cdots, m_{E}\right\}}\left\{\left|\nabla h_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right|\right\}\right\}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{I}=\left\{d:\|d\|_{1}=1, \exists t>0 \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X)\right\} .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cap \mathcal{D}_{I}, t>0\right\}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{1}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L_{f}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{0}\right\}
$$

and the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1}, t>0\right\}
$$

is overestimated by


$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{2}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L_{I}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, suppose $x^{*}$ is at the center of a box, $B_{\delta}$, of width $\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}}$ placed while covering $\hat{X}_{5}$.
Then the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1}, t>0\right\} \backslash B_{\delta}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left[\frac{L_{I}}{4} \delta, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right]\right\}
$$

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{3}$

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a constrained minimizer and $\exists \alpha>0$ and a set $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{f}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cap \mathcal{D}_{I}} \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d>0, \\
& L_{I}=\inf _{d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \mathcal{D}_{1}} \max \left\{\max _{j \in \mathcal{A}\left(x^{*}\right)}\left\{\nabla g_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right\}, \max _{k \in\left\{1, \cdots, m_{\Xi}\right\}}\left\{\left|\nabla h_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d\right|\right\}\right\}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{I}=\left\{d:\|d\|_{1}=1, \exists t>0 \text { s.t. }\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X)\right\} .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cap \mathcal{D}_{I}, t>0\right\}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{1}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L_{f}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{0}\right\}
$$

and the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1}, t>0\right\}
$$

is overestimated by


$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{2}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): L_{I}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, suppose $x^{*}$ is at the center of a box, $B_{\delta}$, of width $\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}}$ placed while covering $\hat{X}_{5}$.
Then the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+t d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1}, t>0\right\} \backslash B_{\delta}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{1}\left(x^{*}\right): \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left[\frac{L_{I}}{4} \delta, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right]\right\}
$$

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{3}$

Theorem: Suppose the conditions of the Lemma hold.
Let $\delta=\delta_{f}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}}{\tau^{\mathrm{f}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\digamma}}}, \delta_{I}=\left(\frac{L_{I} \delta}{4 \tau^{I}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\mathrm{I}}}}=\left(\frac{L_{I}}{4 \tau^{\mathrm{I}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\mathrm{I}}}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}}{\tau^{\mathrm{f}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\beta^{1}\right)^{2}}}, r_{I}=\frac{2 \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}}{L_{I}}, r_{f}=\frac{2 \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}}{L_{f}}$.

1. If $\delta_{I} \geq 2 r_{I}$, then let $N_{I}=1$.
2. If $\frac{2 r_{I}}{m_{I}^{\prime}-1}>\delta_{I} \geq \frac{2 r_{I}}{m_{I}^{\prime}}$ for some $m_{I} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m_{I}^{\prime} \leq n, 2 \leq m_{I}^{\prime} \leq 6$, then let $N_{I}=\sum_{i=0}^{m_{I}^{\prime}-1} 2^{i}\binom{n}{i}+2 n\left\lceil\frac{m_{I}^{\prime}-3}{3}\right\rceil$.
3. Otherwise, $N_{I}=\left\lceil 0.5\left(\tau^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta^{1}}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\left(\beta^{1}\right)^{2}} L_{I}^{-\frac{2}{\beta^{1}}}}\right]^{n-1}\left(\left[0.5\left(\tau^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta^{1}}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\left(\beta^{1}\right)^{2}} L_{I}^{-\frac{2}{\beta^{\mathrm{l}}}}}\right]+2 n\left[0.25\left(\tau^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta^{1}}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\left(\beta^{1}\right)^{2}} L_{I}^{-\frac{2}{\beta^{\mathrm{l}}}}}\right]\right)$.
4. If $\delta_{f} \geq 2 r_{f}$, then let $N_{f}=1$.
5. If $\frac{2 r_{f}}{m_{f}-1}>\delta_{f} \geq \frac{2 r_{f}}{m_{f}}$ for some $m_{f} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m_{f} \leq n, 2 \leq m_{f} \leq 6$, then let $N_{f}=\sum_{i=0}^{m_{f}-1} 2^{i}\binom{n}{i}+2 n\left\lceil\frac{m_{f}-3}{3}\right\rceil$.
6. Otherwise, $N_{f}=\left\lceil 2\left(\tau^{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}} L_{f}^{-\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}}\right]^{n-1}\left(\left[2\left(\tau^{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}} L_{f}^{-\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}}\right]+2 n\left\lceil\left(\tau^{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}} L_{f}^{-\frac{1}{\beta^{\natural}}}\right\rceil\right)$.
$N_{I}$ is an upper bound on the number of boxes of width $\delta_{I}$ required to cover $\hat{X}_{3}^{2} \backslash \hat{X}_{5}$ and $N_{f}$ is an upper bound on the number of boxes of width $\delta_{f}$ required to cover $\hat{X}_{3}^{1}$.

## First-Order Clustering in $X_{3}$

Number of boxes required to cover $\hat{X}_{3}^{1}$ when $\beta^{f}=1$

| Case | Number of boxes |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\tau^{f} \leq \frac{L_{f}}{4}$ | 1 |
| $\frac{L_{f}}{4}<\tau^{f} \leq \frac{2 L_{f}}{4}$ | $1+2 n$ |
| $\frac{2 L_{f}}{4}<\tau^{f} \leq \frac{3 L_{f}}{4}$ | $1+2 n^{2}$ |
| $\frac{3 L_{f}}{4}<\tau^{f} \leq \frac{4 L_{f}}{4}$ | $1+\frac{14}{3} n-2 n^{2}+\frac{4}{3} n^{3}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{6 L_{f}}{4}<\tau^{f}$ | $\left\lceil 2 \tau^{f} L_{f}-1\right\rceil^{n-1}\left(\left\lceil 2 \tau^{f} L_{f}^{-1}\right\rceil+2 n\left\lceil\tau^{f} L_{f}^{-1}\right\rceil\right)$ |

$$
\text { Number of boxes required to cover } \hat{X}_{3}^{2} \backslash \hat{X}_{5} \text { when } \beta^{I}=1
$$

| Case | Number of boxes |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\tau^{I} \leq \frac{L_{I}}{4}$ | 1 |
| $\frac{L_{I}}{4}<\tau^{I} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} L_{I}}{4}$ | $1+2 n$ |
| $\frac{\sqrt{2} L_{I}}{4}<\tau^{I} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3} L_{I}}{4}$ | $1+2 n^{2}$ |
| $\frac{\sqrt{3} L_{I}}{4}<\tau^{I} \leq \frac{\sqrt{4} L_{I}}{4}$ | $1+\frac{14}{3} n-2 n^{2}+\frac{4}{3} n^{3}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{\sqrt{6} L_{I}}{4}<\tau^{I}$ | $\left\lceil 0.5\left(\tau^{I}\right)^{2} L_{I}{ }^{-2}\right\rceil^{n-1}\left(\left\lceil 0.5\left(\tau^{I}\right)^{2} L_{I}{ }^{-2}\right\rceil+2 n\left\lceil 0.25\left(\tau^{I}\right)^{2} L_{I}{ }^{-2}\right\rceil\right)$ |

## 

Lemma: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a constrained minimizer and $\exists \alpha>0, \gamma_{1}>0, \gamma_{2}>0$, and a set $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d+\frac{1}{2} d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f\left(x^{*}\right) d \geq \gamma_{1} d^{\mathrm{T}} d, \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cap \mathcal{D}_{I} \\
& \max \left\{\max _{j \in \mathcal{A}\left(x^{*}\right)}\left\{\nabla g_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d+\frac{1}{2} d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{j}\left(x^{*}\right) d\right\}, \max _{k \in\left\{1, \cdots, m_{E}\right\}}\left\{\left|\nabla h_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d+\frac{1}{2} d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} h_{k}\left(x^{*}\right) d\right|\right\}\right\} \geq \gamma_{2} d^{\mathrm{T}} d, \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{I}=\left\{d:\left(x^{*}+d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X)\right\} .
$$

Then $\exists \hat{\alpha} \in(0, \alpha]$ s.t. the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cap \mathcal{D}_{I}\right\}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{1}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right): \gamma_{1}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{0}\right\}
$$

and the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1}\right\}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\hat{X}_{3}^{2}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right): \gamma_{2}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, suppose $x^{*}$ is at the center of a box, $B_{\delta}$, of width $\delta=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}}}$ placed while covering $\hat{X}_{5}$.
Then the region

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{3} \cap\left\{x=\left(x^{*}+d\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{C}}(X): d \in \mathcal{D}_{I} \backslash \mathcal{D}_{1}\right\} \backslash B_{\delta}
$$

is overestimated by

$$
\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{2}\left(x^{*}\right): \max \left\{d\left(\{g(x)\}, \mathbb{R}_{-}^{m_{I}}\right), d(\{h(x)\},\{0\})\right\} \in\left[\frac{\gamma_{2}}{8} \delta^{2}, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{f}}\right]\right\}
$$

## Revisiting the motivating examples

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min _{x, y} & y^{2}-12 x-7 y \\
\text { s.t. } & y+2 x^{4}-2=0, \\
& x \in[0,2], y \in[0,3] .
\end{array}
$$




## Revisiting the motivating examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{x, y} & -x-y \\
\text { s.t. } & y \leq 2+2 x^{4}-8 x^{3}+8 x^{2} \\
& y \leq 4 x^{4}-32 x^{3}+88 x^{2}-96 x+36, \\
& x \in[0,3], y \in[0,4] .
\end{aligned}
$$




## Summary

- Illustrated the cluster problem (or lack thereof) in constrained optimization as motivation for analysis
- Proposed a notion of convergence order for convex relaxationbased lower bounding schemes for constrained problems
- Established sufficient conditions for first-order and secondorder convergence of convex relaxation-based lower bounding schemes to mitigate clustering
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